# UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY®



see blue.

#### Identification and Modifiable Risk Factors of Jockey Injuries

2015 Welfare and Safety of the Racehorse Summit Keeneland Sales Pavilion Wednesday, July 8 2015

Carl G. Mattacola, PhD, ATC, FNATA Department of Rehabilaition Sciences College of Health Sciences



 To determine peak accelerations (G) between common equestrian helmets using ASTM standards.





### Helmet models must meet requirements ASTM F1163





- 300 G's is the accepted international threshold for serious brain injury and thus is used as the standard normative comparison.
- After 4 impacts it should not allow 300G's to pass through it.





# **ASTM Testing Procedure**

- The helmets for Test Group 1 were impacted once on four locations (Front, Left, Right, and Back) with the standard head mass configuration.
- The time duration between impacts did not exceed 2 minutes and was not less than 1 minute. No individual acceleration was to exceed 300 G (gravitational constant).





### Flat Anvil

See video





## **Equestrian Anvil**







### Helmets were Struck with Equestrian Anvil







### Helmets

Helmet	Model Number	Model
GPA	C 5085	Jock UpThree
Charles Owens	J3	
LAS	E 5059	JC Jockey Helmet
UoF	J4912	EVO Jockey Helmet
Champion	J4912	Euro Deluxe
Troxel Special Production Low Density Liner 40g/l	J4912	40g/l
Caliente Style Riding Helmet	J4912	Jockey Racing Helmet Argentina





## **Flat Anvil**

Helmet	Model Number	Model	Freat Flat	Left Flat	Mean of Flat	Cumulative of Flat	
GPA	C 5085	Jock UpThree	190.9	209.4	200.2	400.3	
Charles Owens	J3		205.3	219.3	212.3	424.6	
LAS	E 5059	JC Jockey Helmet	213.0	232.4	222.7	445.4	
UoF	J4912	EVO Jockey Helmet	249.1	264.0	256.6	513.1	
Champion	J4912	Euro Deluxe	374.1	240.5	307.3	614.6	
Troxel Special Production Low Density Liner 40g/l	J4912	40g/l	706.2	207.6	456.9	913.8	
Caliente Style Riding Helmet	J4912	Jockey Racing Helmet Argentina	923.0	*923.0	923.0	*1846.0	The second secon



### **Equestrian Anvil**

Helmet	Right Equestrian	Back Equestrian	Mean of Equestrian	Cumulative G of Equestrian
GPA	103.8	96.6	100.2	200.4
Charles Owens	113.7	100.2	107.0	213.9
LAS	123.6	168.3	146.0	291.9
UoF	117.8	525.3	321.6	643.1
Champion	116.9	114.6	115.8	231.5
Troxel Special Production Low Density Liner 40g/l	263.5	280.2	271.9	543.7
Caliente Style Riding Helmet	426.0		426.0	426.0

The helmets for Test Group 2 were impacted four times on the crown location and were x-rayed before testing and after Impact No. 1 and 4.





- The helmets for Test Group 3 were impacted four times on the crown with a headz XP liner placed inside the helmet.
- The helmets for Test Group 4 were impacted four times on the crown with an Unequal helmet liner placed inside the helmet.





- All testing completed on "dirt" surfaces were for reference only. Testing on each surface was conducted at the ASTM F1163 drop height to measure the approximate acceleration that a 5.0 kg head would undergo when striking with no helmet.
- Upon measuring the acceleration with each "dirt" surface, the "dirt" was switched with a 1" MEP pad to recreate the accelerations measured when testing with the "dirt" by altering the drop height.







- To determine peak accelerations (G) between common equestrian helmets following repetitive impacts.
  - Dependent Variables
    - Peak Acceleration (g)
    - Deformation of material (mm)





### Percentage Increase from Repetitive Impact

Model	Time 1	Time2	Time3	Time 4	% increase Time 1 to Time 2	% increase Time 1 to Time 3	% increase Time 1 to Time 4
Charles Owens	164.3	190.4	244.1	282.0	15.89%	48.6%	71.6%
Champion	220.7	280.7	336.2	380.9	27.19%	52.3%	72.6%
Troxel	185.5	243.2	316.8	417.0	31.11%	70.8%	124.8%
GPA	210.3	276.2	318.6	348.4	31.34%	51.5%	65.7%
UoF	210.3	289.7	426.9	583.0	37.76%	103.0%	177.2%
LAS	199.5	285.7	417.0	636.8	43.21%	109.0%	219.2%





### Helmets below 300 G's after Multiple Impacts



# **Champion - Pre Drop**







# Champion – Drop 1







# **Champion – Drop 4**







### **Champion - Pre Drop to Drop 4**







# Change in the size of the padding in the helmet after repetitive impacts

Pad mm	Pre	Post 1	Post 4	% Decrease Pre - Post 4
Champion	23.09	15.74	10.65	53.88%
UoF	23.07	13.99	6.52	71.74%
Charles Owens	20.61	18.22	13.64	33.82%
Troxel	19.35	14.72	10.22	47.18%
	16.22	11.96	4.77	70 59%
	10.22	11.50		10.0370
GPA	14.36	21.11	19.51	-35.86%





## Pad mm Pre- Post 1 – Post 4



## Gap mm Pre-Post 1 – Post 4





### Interesting Charles Owens Pre drop







# Charles Owens Drop 1







# Charles Owens Drop 4







### UoF Pre





# UofF Drop 1







# UoF Drop 4







### Troxel Pre







## Troxel Drop 1







## Troxel Drop 4















# LAS Drop 1



# LAS Drop 4


# Helmet

- Should be replaced after a contact with the ground. While there may not appear to be any damage, the pad and gap between the pad and the shell is compromised
- Damage is increased sequentially after each subsequent hit.







#### Provide update on data currently in the Jockey Injury Database





#### <u>http://youtu.be/RzyJYH0kMVE</u>













The data collection system has been created with the assistance of Keeneland, The Jockey Club and the National Thoroughbred Racing Association (NTRA) Safety and Integrity Alliance.





#### OR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

#### Jockeys' Guild announces launch of Jockey Injury Database; aim is to track trends, protect riders

IICHOLASVILLE, Ky. (April 4, 2012) –The Jockeys' Guild today announced the reation of the Jockey Injury Database, a new program aimed at preventing rider njuries in the future.

The Jockey Injury Database will collect information on jockey injuries at acetracks, including where, when and how injuries occurred, what type of equipment riders were wearing at the time, and the nature and severity of the njuries.

When a jockey injury occurs, the information will be gathered confidentially by nedical personnel at racetracks, as well as by Guild representatives, and then intered into a database to be analyzed at a later date. In the database, jockeys will not be identified by name nor will the tracks where incidents occur.  Direct loses associated with injury include inability to work, potential decrease of success for a mount, medical costs, rehabilitation and disruption of family life and the stable.





# **Professional Jockeys**

On average weigh approximately 110 pounds

 Reported to be in better physical condition than professional football, baseball, basketball, and hockey players.





# **Professional Jockeys**

- Ride an animal which weighs approximately 1500 pounds, running at speeds of 30-40 mph.
- Injuries at these speeds can have catastrophic consequences





1. <b>@</b> Ma	ale 🤇	Female	2. OApprentic	e Professional jockey:	: (number of year	<sup>(s)</sup> <u>15</u>	CODE: 009-
3. Location of Race Specific:	Incident:	O Paddock OAt start O Returning to u	OPost parade OFirst half of race nsaddle	OLoading into the gate Oin final turn Cin stretch	Oin the gate OGailoping	out 1.570	internal use caly
	-,	7. Surface: ODr OSy OTu	nthetic rf	A. Surface Condition of Dirt;	<ul> <li>Fast</li> <li>OGood</li> <li>OMuddy</li> <li>OSloppy</li> </ul>	7B.Surface Condition of Turf:	OFirm O Good O Soft O Yielding
8. Age: O2 YO O3 YO Ø3 YO & UP O4 YO & UP	9. Race Hors ●M OF	e Gen <b>der</b>	10. Race Gende ©C&G OF&M OOpen	er 11. Race Ty OMSW ●MDN Claimin OClaiming \$ OStarter ALW	ype ng \$ <u>5,000</u>	OAllowance optional claiming \$ OALW OHandicap OStake Race OOther	
12. Cause of in (check ell that e	n <b>cide</b> nt: apply):	Thrown by hor Fell over fallen Other (specify)	se (non-breakdown) horse on track – C ;	Thrown by horse (breakdo Thrown or pinned by horse in	own) 🕮 Clippe I gate 🔲 Equip	ed heels 🛛 🖾 Collision ment failure	
13. Result of Incident:		ONon-Injury	Dinjury (Returned to	o ride same day) 🛛 🔊 Øinjury (D	Did NOT return sa	ame day)	





12. Cause of Incident: (check all that apply):	Thrown by horse (non-breakdown) T Fell over fallen horse on track Thro Other (specify);	hrown by horse (breakdown) Clipped heels wn or pinned by horse in gate Clequipment failu	©Collision re
13. Result of Incident:	ONon-Injury Olnjury (Returned to ride a	ame day) 🛛 🐵 injury (Did NOT return same day)	
14. Cause of Injury: (check all that apply):	■Injured on horse □Injured in fall □1	frampled by horse CKicked by horse CPinned	by horse Other
15. Site of Impact;	OGround ORall OGate	Other (specify); N/A	
16. On-track Medical Staff: (check all that apply):	Doctor Durse Deramedi	c dent COther	4
17. On-track Medical Care:	O No treatment necessary OTreated an	d released OTreated, then transported to hospit	al '
	OTransported immediately to hospital		
18. Was hospitalization requ	Ired for the injury? O Yes 🔍 🤻	No	
19. Type of Helmet:	20. Heimet Certification:	21. Type of Body Protection	22. Body Protection Certification
OChampion	OASTM F1163	O Air Vest	OASTM F1937
Ocharles Owen	OEN 1384 / BS EN 1384	O Hows Racesale	OASTM F2681
O GPA Sport	OAS/NZS 3838	OPhoenix Vest (Tipparery)	OEN 13158
OLAS Helmets	OSnell	Ovipa Vest	
(second made the of he main	OUther (specify):		●No. Certification
CAUCHTE	Who Centrication ONet Record	ONot Recorded	ONot Recorded





#### 3. Nature of injury (check all that apply):

[Fx=Fracture, Str/Spr=Strain/Sprain, Disl=Dislocation, Sx=Surgery]

CiHead/Skull	OFx			⊡Sx	COUpper arm (humerus)	🗆 Fx	OStr/Spr		⊐Sx	CThigh (femur)	QFX	CISI//Spr		۳s
Concussion					DLower arm (radius/uins)	🗆 Fx	Str/Spr		⊡Sx	CKnee	ПFX	CISt/Spr	CIDisl	Q\$
CIFacial bones	OFx			⊡Sx	C)Wrist	🛈 Fx	CIStr/Spr		⊡Sx	□Lower leg (tibia/libula)	<b>DFx</b>	CIStr/Spr		DS
Eyes				CI Sx	Hand	QFx	CISt/Spr		<b>O</b> Sx	DAnkie	ÜFx	CStr/Spr	<b>C</b> Disi	08
CIEsrs				Cii Sx	C) Fingers	⊡Fx	□ Str/Spr	Disi	CDSx	CIFeet	QFx	⊡Str/Spr	<b>Ci Disi</b>	
Nose	۵Fx			⊂lSx	Chest/Ribs	⊡Fx	⊂lStr/Spr		<b>O</b> Sx	CI Spinal cord injury				
ÖJaw	DFx		<b>Disi</b>	⊡Sx	CIAbdominal injury				⊡Sx	Paralysis				
Neck (cervical spine)	DFx	CIStr/Spr	Disi	⊡Sx	CUpper back (thoracic spins)	⊡Fx	⊡Str/Spr		Q\$x	C) Soft tissue injury				
Shoulder	⊡Fx	Str/Spr	🗆 Disi	⊂l Sx	BLower back (lumber spine)	<b>C</b> IFx	Str/Spr		CD\$x	Death				
Collar bone (clavicle)	₽Fx			⊡ Sx	CIPetvis	CIFx	CIStr/Spr		<b>C</b> Sx	Other (specify):				
CIElbow	`⊡Fx		(1) Disi	⊡Sx	CHip	QFx	□Str/Spr	C Disi	üSx					
4. Length of Time	to Ref	lum to R	lide: ((	) to 365 a	days or over)	<u></u>								

Fax or e-mail completed form to:

859-219-9892

٠

Info@jockeysguild.com









Percentage































UK.







UK.















UK.



















# **Injured** Data

Injury by Region %



IK









Site of Incident %





- Of the 232 injuries, 79% did not return the same day and 21% were able to return the same day
- 699 total incidents in database where a jockey came off a horse.
- Of those, 232 resulted in an injury





All Entries (Incidents)	All Entries (Incidents)	Injured Only	Injured Only
Location		Location	
Start	32%	Start	11%
Stretch	24%	Stretch	28%
Final Turn	17%	Final Turn	22%
Cause of Incident		Cause of Incident	
Thrown by Horse No BD	51%	Thrown by Horse No BD	32%
Thrown by Horse Breakdown	20%	Thrown by Horse Breakdown	29%
Result of Incident		Result of Incident	
Non-Injury	60%	Non-Injury	0
Injury	40%	Injury	100%
Returned	90%	Returned	21%
Did not Return	31%	Did not Return	79%
Cause of Injury		Cause of Injury	
Injured in Fall	76%	Injured in Fall	80%
Nature of Injury		Nature of Injury	
Fracture	24%	Fracture	25%
Sprain	24%	Sprain	26%
Concussion	8%	Concussion	9%
Upper Extremity	37%	Upper Extremity	34%
Lower Extremity	26%	Lower Extremity	26%
		Concussions	
		Male	5.13%
		Female	33.33%
		<u>Lower back (lumbar</u> <u>spine)</u>	
		Male	4.62%
		Female	11.11%

## Recommendations

- Of those jockeys injured more than ¼ were classified as wearing a helmet that did not reflect an ASTM listed helmet
- An unknown percentage of the helmets listed as "other" likely include Caliente Style helmets
- Caliente Style helmets failed Helmet Impact Testing and should be banned from equestrian racing





- Therefore for the data that we currently have, half of the times a jockey comes unseated they will suffer an injury!
- Number of Days Out Mean 16.7 36.01
   Median 1.5
   Mode0





## Challenges

In order to capture the incidence of an Incidence: Number of new cases of the disease/injury over a period of time Number of people at risk during that period Number of injuries Absolute incidence rate : Number of exposure-events (games) Number of new cases Relative incidence rate : -Population time




#### Incidence

- Need Number of
  - Race Rides
  - Races
  - Race Meets
  - Usually Number of new injuries or Falls per 1000 exposures or per 1000 race rides
  - We will provide incidence data for Keeneland after the Spring 2016 Meet





#### **Future Considerations**

 Assess and Seek to Improve Performance and Physiologic Output, Nutrition and Injury Prevention for the jockeys much like in other sports





### **Future Considerations**

- Important momentum that has taken place in 1 Year
- COOPERATION
  - JOCKEYS
  - Tracks
  - Regional Managers
- Initiative to develop a Research and Education Committee





## **Thoughts to Ponder**

#### Horseracing is similar to other sports

- Emphasis on public perception
- Increased awareness of long term health
- Majority of injuries are from falling
  - Better conditioned athlete
  - Better protection
  - Better identification of potential long-term sequelae





## **Thoughts to Ponder**

- Need continued influence to make changes similar to other professional sports
- Continue to foster an atmosphere where there is emphasis on building proactive approach to prevention and care





#### **Future Considerations**

- Identification of Injuries will provide the ability to better protect and seek preventative mechanisms to put the welfare of the Jockey at the forefront
- Better understanding equipment needs and Medical Response









#### Carlmat@uky.edu





## UNIVERSITY OF KENNUCKY

see blue.



# UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY<sup>®</sup>

#### **College of Health Sciences**



see blue.

"The sun shines bright on my old Kentucky home...."