Maintenance Quality System, Track Design & Equine Injury Database

Dr. Michael "Mick" Peterson

Professor of Mechanical Engineering, University of Maine

Dr Sarah Jane Hobbs

Reader Equine Biomechanics, University of Central Lancashire

Dr. Becky Woodward

Principal, Eclipse Research



Welfare & Safety Summit

A <u>Culture of Data</u>

- Equine Injury Database
- Jockey Injury Database

Maintenance Quality System

- Surface Data Including....
 - Design data
 - Track Inspection
 - Maintenance Tracking



Goal: Find good (better?) surfaces Performance Testing Maintenance[®] Quality System Maintenance Composition Methods Testing Equine The more critical question: Injury Database **Epidemiology**? What matters is that we protect horses and riders

A Useful Result?

- Basic Track Design Information on 40 Racetracks
- Track Design
 - Turn radius
 - Banking & Design

What Matters

Track Design Information

Look at turns... Important?

A clear first step

Equine Injury

Database

Seven years of Data

How Horses Turn...

F = M

lesting Laboratory

Curve negotiation:

- Newton's First Law
 - An object in motion stays in motion with the same speed and in the same direction
 - unless acted upon by an unbalanced force.
- Turning requires centripetal acceleration ...
- The resulting force is an inwardly directed ground reaction force.

A Spherical Horse ... A Point!

Forces are significant:

- Example
 - 415 ft. radius turns
 - 40 MPH
- 0.25 G acceleration
- 250 lbs of force

 The force from the turning results in a tipping (moment) on the horse, which must be resisted at the ground



The Weight of Horse Plus Turning



Outcome: Laterality of injuries Right leg fractures? **Does not match the data!**

Resist Tipping ... banking



Banking of the track can keep the forces aligned with the limbs

How much to bank the track?

- For the Example
 - 1000 lbs & 250lbs turning
 - 25 degree banking





Horses lean!!!

How much do horses lean?

- Limited data from gallop ...
- More data from other gaits

What can we measure?

- Kinematics: the 3-D motion of the horse, leaning, rotation of the hoof and relative position of hooves
- Kinetics: the forces on the hooves, the way in which the load is shifted between legs

Outcome:

Obvious outcome laterality of injuries

Measurement Techniques

Kinematic – 3D optical motion Hobbs et al. (2011)



Inertial sensor based
 Starke et al. (2012).



Measurement Techniques

 Kinetic – Force platforms as described by Clayton et al. (2014) that measure the GRF





Measurement Techniques

Kinetic – Force shoes measure limb forces
 Roland et al. (2005) and Chateau et al. (2013)





Third Metacarpal (Cannon Bone)

Trotting

- Inside forelimb: more inclined than body
- Outside forelimb: less inclined than body
- Hind: MtIII similar to body

(Hobbs et al., 2011; Chateau et al., 2013)



Angle of limbs is significant even lower speeds!

Trotting - Head & Trunk

- Body Center of
 Pressure moves 20
 mm (3/4 inch) to
 inside on 6 m circle
- Mild head nod down on stance of outside fore

(Clayton and Sha, 2006; Hobbs et al., 2011; Starke et al., 2012) Merritt et al., 2014)



Lean is significant even at low speeds!

Assumed horse is a sphere



The Body of the Horse Rotates

Motion of a point PLUS Rotation of the horse!

0

 $\mathbf{\mathbf{O}}$

Hoof

Trotting

- Inside forelimb:
 - Abduction (away from midline) and internal rotation moment at hoof
 - Laterally positioned Center of Pressure (away from midline)
- Outside forelimb:
 - Adduction (to midline) and large external rotation moment at hoof
 - Medially positioned Center of Pressure (toward midline)

(Hobbs et al., 2011; Chateau et al., 2013)

Hoof rotates and shifts pressure ... turns and makes banking... if the surface supports the hoof





What does this mean?

Outcome:

- The obvious outcome should be laterality of injuries
 - Lateral loading to outside
 - Need to produce turning forces, rotate the horse
- Left front and right rear fractures?

Outcome: Fractures: Left front and right rear

Equine Injury Data

NO: Left front and right fracture differences are primarily on dirt!

Laterality of injuries is dramatically reduced on turf and synthetic surfaces.

Left front, lower on turf and synthetic



Horse Alignment for Turning

- Horse moves into the turn to reduce the tipping moment.
- Creates banking with hooves
- Surface supports hoof rotation? *Turning is a* significant risk to the horse and surfaces matter!



Tan & Wilson (2014): Grip and limb force limits to turning

Two factors that might limit turning performances are: peak limb force & traction.

Different speeds (polo versus racing), traction versus force ... all grass



Racing:

- Synthetic and Turf: Force Limited?
- Dirt: Traction Limited?

Traction Limited Surfaces

- If traction is limited the ability to balance loads between limbs is limited
- Turning as an exposure variable
- Do traction limited horse have a certain number of allowable turning strides?
- Eliminate laterality of injuries, dirt safety closer to synthetic or turf?
- Understand Musculoskeletal Disease



Why We Care

- Need to Understanding Surfaces and Turning
 - Is Turning a Factor in Safety of Turf and Synthetic?
 - What Factors can be modified with dirt?
- Understand
 Musculo skeletal
 Disease

Surface

	2009			2010			
	Fatal injuries	Starts	per 1000 starts	Fatal injuries	Starts	per 1000 starts	Fatal injuries
ALL	790	395897	2.00	727	387671	1.88	713
Turf	88	45456	1.94	81	50701	1.60	77
Dirt	617	293306	2.10	585	286584	2.04	586
Synthetic	85	57135	1.49	61	50386	1.21	50

Make Dirt Consistently Safe?

- Good years on dirt
- Every year is pretty good on synthetic
- Understand dirt
 eliminate
 the bad years
- Turning may be a factor!





Maintenance Quality System

- Design Data
 - Type of dirt track: shallow sand, cushion, false base
 - Turn Radius
 - Banking Transitions
- Track Inspection Data
 - Grip
 - Firmness/Cushioning
 - Consistency
- Maintenance Data
 - Sealed
 - Weather, drier than normal wetter than normal

- Control for....
 - Type of horses
 - Training
 - Jurisdiction



Overall Theme:

A <u>Culture of Data</u>

- Equine Injury Database
- Jockey Injury Database
- Maintenance Quality System



Make Racing Safer with Data

References

- Chateau H, Camus M, Holden-Douilly L, Falala S, Ravary B, Vergari C, Lepley J, Denoix JM, Pourcelot P, Crevier-Denoix N. 2013. Kinetics of the forelimb in horses circling on different ground surfaces at the trot. Vet J. 198(suppl 1):e20–e26.
- Clayton, H.M., Sha, D.H., 2006. Head and body centre of mass movement in horses trotting on a circular path. Equine Veterinary Journal Suppl. 36, 462–467.
- Clayton, H.M. (2016). In communication
- Hobbs, S.J., Licka, T., Polman, R., 2011. The difference in kinematics of horses walking, trotting and cantering on a flat and banked 10 m circle. Equine Veterinary Journal 43, 686–694.
- Merritt, J., Starke, S. and Clayton, H. (2014), The Point of Application of the Ground Reaction Force Moves in Circling Horses. Equine Veterinary Journal, 46: 43. doi: 10.1111/evj.12267_132
- Rhodin, M., Pfau, T., Roepstorff, L. and Egenvall, A. (2013). Effect of lungeing on head and pelvic movement asymmetry in horses with induced lameness. The Veterinary Journal 198 (2013) e39–e45.
- Roland, E. S., M. L. Hull,, S. M. Stover, Design and demonstration of a dynamometric horseshoe for measuring ground reaction loads of horses during racing conditions, Journal of Biomechanics 38 (2005) 2102–2112
- Starke, S.D., Willems, E., May, S.A., Pfau, T., 2012. Vertical head and trunk movement adaptations of sound horses trotting in a circle on a hard surface. The Veterinary Journal 193, 73–80.
- Tan, H. and Wilson, A.M. (2010). Grip and limb force limits turning performance in competition horses. Proc. Royal Soc. B. 278, 2105-2111.

